

Graphical rules for $SU(N)$

In this problem, we deal with a graphical language, which turns out to be very useful when computing group factors in a Yang-Mills field theory constructed on the gauge group $SU(N)$. This applies in particular to QCD, for which, although $N = 3$, it turns out to be useful to consider the extension to $SU(N)$ for reasons which will become clear in section 3.2.

1 Generators

A graphical representation of the Lie algebra generators in the fundamental representation t_{ij}^a is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \longleftarrow i \quad \longleftarrow j \end{array} \equiv t_{ij}^a. \tag{1}$$

The fundamental lines carry arrows to distinguish the two representations N and \bar{N} which are not equivalent for $N \geq 3$.

The graphical representation for the generator $(t^a)^*_{ij}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \longrightarrow i \quad \longrightarrow j \end{array} \equiv (t^a)^*_{ij}. \tag{2}$$

Since t^a is hermitian,

$$(t^a)^\dagger_{ij} = \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \longrightarrow j \quad \longrightarrow i \end{array} = t_{ij}^a = \begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \longleftarrow i \quad \longleftarrow j \end{array} \tag{3}$$

One can of course, through a turn around by π , write

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \longrightarrow j \quad \longrightarrow i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow i \quad \longleftarrow j \\ \text{wavy line} \\ a \end{array} \tag{4}$$

thus hermiticity (3) implies that

$$\begin{array}{c} a \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \longleftarrow i \quad \longleftarrow j \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow i \quad \longleftarrow j \\ \text{wavy line} \\ a \end{array} \tag{5}$$

After setting these rules, a given ordered product of generators drawn graphically can be translated algebraically *following the arrows backward*, as one would do for Dirac γ matrices.

The generators are normalized conventionally through the relation

$$\boxed{\text{Tr}(t^a t^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}}. \quad (6)$$

1. Derive the Fierz identity

$$\boxed{t_{ij}^a t_{kl}^a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{N} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right)}. \quad (7)$$

2. Show that this identity reads graphically:

$$\begin{array}{c} j \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} k \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \ell \end{array} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} j \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} k \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \ell \end{array} - \frac{1}{N} \begin{array}{c} j \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} k \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \ell \end{array} \right) \quad (8)$$

3. From the decomposition of identity acting in the tensor product space $N \otimes \bar{N}$, Find the graphical rules for the singlet and adjoint projectors.
4. Check that these projectors give the appropriate values for the dimension of the singlet and adjoint representations.

2 A few applications

2.1 Some color factors in fundamental representation

Let us consider now a few typical color factors, which we will encounter several times.

1. Show that $(t^a t^a)_{ij} = C_F \delta_{ij}$ and compute C_F .
2. Derive the same result using the Fierz identity
 - a. Algebraically
 - b. Graphically.
3. Derive that

$$\boxed{(t^a t^b t^a)_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2N} t_{ij}^b \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{array}{c} b \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ a \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \curvearrowright \\ a \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ j \end{array} = -\frac{1}{2N} \begin{array}{c} b \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \curvearrowright \\ j \end{array}} \quad (9)$$

2.2 Some color factors in adjoint representation

Graphical rule can also be given in order to compute color factor involving the adjoint representation. It requires to relate the adjoint representation to the fundamental one.

1. Prove that

$$\frac{i}{2} f_{abc} = \begin{array}{c} a \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ b \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \curvearrowright \\ c \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ c \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} a \\ \curvearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ b \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \curvearrowright \\ c \\ \text{---} \\ \curvearrowleft \\ b \end{array}. \quad (10)$$

2. Derive the following identity:

$$f^{acd} f^{bcd} = N \delta^{ab} \equiv C_A \delta^{ab} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{array}{c} d \\ \circlearrowleft \\ a \quad b \\ \circlearrowright \\ c \end{array} = -C_A \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ a \quad b \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} \quad (11)$$

a. Algebraically

b. Graphically.

3 Color factors for the n gluon production

A tree-level multigluon amplitude can be written in an $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory as

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \sum_{[1,2,\dots,n]'} \text{Tr}(t^{a_1} t^{a_2} \dots t^{a_n}) m(p_1, \epsilon_1; p_2, \epsilon_2; \dots; p_n, \epsilon_n), \quad (12)$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_n$ are, respectively, the colors, momenta, and helicities of the gluons, and the sum is over the noncyclic permutations of the set $[1, 2, \dots, n]$. Our aim is to evaluate the color factors involved in the computation of the squared matrix element, averaged over colors for the initial state.

Explain why a tree-level amplitude only involves single traces.

3.1 A particular case

We first consider the color factor associated to $|\text{Tr}(t^{a_1} t^{a_2} \dots t^{a_n})|^2$. We denote the corresponding color factor as

$$C_n = \frac{1}{(N^2 - 1)^2} |\text{Tr}(t^{a_1} t^{a_2} \dots t^{a_n})|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{(N^2 - 1)^2} T_n. \quad (13)$$

1. Show that

$$T_1 = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$T_2 = \frac{N^2 - 1}{4}, \quad (15)$$

$$T_3 = \frac{(N^2 - 2)(N^2 - 1)}{8N}. \quad (16)$$

2. Justify the fact that

$$T_n \sim \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^n \quad (17)$$

for $N \rightarrow \infty$ ('t Hooft limit).

3. Prove that

$$T_{n+1} = \frac{N}{2} C_F^n - \frac{1}{2N} T_n. \quad (18)$$

4. Solve the relation (18) and show that

$$T_n = \frac{N^2 - 1}{N^n} \frac{1}{(-2)^n} [1 - (1 - N^2)^{n-1}]. \quad (19)$$

3.2 The planar limit

1. For a few number of gluons, evaluate the other color factors occuring when squaring the various matrix elements involved in Eq. (12).

For simplicity, we now replace $SU(N)$ by $U(N)$, and we restrict ourselves to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. In 1974 (Nuclear Physics B72 (1974) 461-473), 't Hooft proved that one can make a simple evaluation of the scaling of a given diagram in this $U(N)$ Yang-Mills theory by a simple investigation of the two-dimensional surface in one-to-one correspondence with this diagram. The physical motivation to do so is to introduce a new expansion parameter, allowing for a systematic classification of diagrams, besides the usual coupling g involved in perturbative methods.

We will not give here the detailed Feynman rules for the $U(N)$ Yang-Mills theory. The only thing which we need is the fact that quarks (antiquark) live in the fundamental representation N (\bar{N}) of $U(N)$, while the gluon are in the adjoint representation. The various propagator thus carry color indices in accordance with these representations, while the vertices involve the generators in fundamental (for the quark-gluon vertex) and adjoint representations (for 3-gluons, 4-gluons vertices and gluon-ghost vertex). This is illustrated in Fig. 1 (for simplicity we do not consider 4-gluons and ghosts vertices).




Figure 1: 't Hooft representation for a $U(N)$ gauge theory.

To make a mapping between the color structure of a given Feynman diagram and a two-dimensional surface, one should attach little surfaces to each color index loop. This leads to a big surface, with edges formed by the quark lines. This surface is in general multiply connected: it contains "worm holes" (for example because of in internal quark loop). The surface can be closed by attaching little surfaces to the quark loops separately.

2. Find the surface to be drawn for the color structures investigated in section 3.1.
3. Find the surfaces corresponding to the other color factors occuring in Eq. (12), for the case of $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ gluons. Can you identify the difference between the type of surfaces which are involved?
4. Justify the fact that the structures investigated in section 3.1 are the dominant one for a given value of n . What is the topology of the corresponding surfaces?